We suggest that the cyclization of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ may initially form two stereoisomers, but the less stable cis product undergoes ring opening, and the thermodynamically more stable 12 and 13 are formed exclusively ${ }^{14}$ through equilibration.

The present reaction has provided a powerful method to resolve two of the most challenging problems for taxane synthesis: (1) an efficient cyclization of an eight-membered-ring system and (2) complete stereocontrol of two functional groups corresponding to the $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ positions. We are currently pursuing a total synthesis of taxusin and taxinine by applying this methodology.
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(11) A subsequent treatment of the siloxy enone 7 with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{Li}$ and with 'BuOK gave $10(Z: E=82: 18)$, which, on treatment with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , gave a mixture of 13 and its cis isomer in 64 and $16 \%$ yields, respectively, but when a similar reaction was performed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min and then at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $45 \mathrm{~min}, 13$ was obtained exclusively.
(12) Two protons corresponding to C-9 and C-10: 13, 4.52 and 4.83 ( J $=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; the cis isomer, 4.60 and $4.80(J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
(13) Overall yield of $\mathbf{1 3}$ from $m$-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal was about $35 \%$.
(14) It has been confirmed by a separate experiment that the cis isomer undergoes isomerization to $\mathbf{1 3}$ under similar reaction conditions. By MM2 calculation, the trans isomer 13 has been estimated to be ca. $2.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the cis isomer.

## A New Coordination Mode for CO. Synthesis and Structure of $\mathbf{C p}_{4} \mathbf{M o}_{2} \mathbf{N i}_{2} \mathbf{S}_{2}\left(\eta^{1}, \mu_{4}-\mathbf{C O}\right)$
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Coordination modes of CO in organometallic compounds have long served as models of catalytic activation of CO and of coordination of CO on metal surfaces. ${ }^{1-3}$ A variety of CO coordination types ( $\eta^{1-2}, \mu_{1-3}$ ) have been recognized either in organometallic compounds or on surfaces of catalysts. However, the only $\mu_{4}$ - CO ligands known are also bonded to the metal framework through the oxygen atom ( $\mu_{4}, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ). ${ }^{4}$

In this paper, we report a new sulfido bimetallic cluster, $\mathrm{Cp}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})(2)$, which contains an unprecedented $\eta^{1}, \mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ ligand, which may serve as a model of CO coordinated in the 4 -fold hollows of the 110 surfaces of face-centered cubic ( fcc ) metals. EHMO calculations support the $\eta^{1}, \mu_{4}$-coordination assignment.

Cluster 2 was synthesized in ca. $20 \%$ yield from the reaction of a $1: 1$ molar ratio of trans $-\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{SMe})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ in a refluxing toluene solution (eq 1). The reaction is similar to those we have recently reported for sulfido Mo/late-transitionmetal carbonyl clusters, $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2-3}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}_{2-4}(\mathrm{CO})_{x}{ }^{5} \quad$ Compound
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Figure 1. ORTEP plot ( $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids) for the framework structure of $\mathrm{Cp}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})$.

2 was characterized by X-ray crystallographic analysis ${ }^{6}$ and other spectroscopic methods. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra gave two equal-intensity peaks for Mo and Ni cyclopentadienyl ( Cp ) rings at 6.00 and 5.05 ppm in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Mass spectra obtained by electron-impact ionization displayed peaks at $662[\mathrm{P}]^{+}$and $634[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CO}]^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{OS}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}$. Calcd: 38.11, 3.05, 9.69. Found: 38.19, 3.14, 10.21 .


The geometrical arrangement of the core (see Figure 1) may be described either as a bicapped trigonal bipyramid with Ni atoms at apex positions and Mo and C atoms in equatorial positions (the carbonyl carbon is regarded as a normal vertex member) or as a butterfly cluster with the carbonyl group bonded to the hinge Mo and wingtip Ni atoms. The Mo-Mo bond distance, 2.576 $\AA$, is shorter than those ( $2.64-2.67 \AA$ ) found in geometrically similar clusters. ${ }^{5,7,8}$ The CO ligand is equidistant from both Ni atoms within experimental error. The $\eta^{1}$ - CO model is supported by the long $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}$ distances ( $d_{\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}}>3.03 \AA$ ) and the unbent CO : the angle between $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}$, and the centroid of the Mo-Mo bond is approximately $180^{\circ}$.

The $\eta^{1}, \mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ assignment is indicated also by the extremely low CO stretching frequency in both the solid state $\left(1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ in a KBr pellet) and in solution ( $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in THF) (cf. $\nu$ (CO) $\sim 1850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ and $\sim 1740-1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CO}$ ). However, the long $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ distances (average $2.39 \AA$ ) suggest only a small bonding interaction between the Ni atoms and the carbonyl group. In related cyclopentadienyl Ni dimers and trimers, ${ }^{9.10}$ $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ distances average $1.85-1.87 \AA$ for $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ and 1.93-2.03 $\AA$ for $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CO}$. Since the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ distance increases with increasing connectivity of the $\mu_{n}-\mathrm{CO}$, a $\mathrm{Ni}-\mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ distance of $2.39 \AA$ is reasonable. A very long $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CO}$ distance ( $2.63 \AA$ ) was also observed in an $\eta^{1}, \mu_{3}$ - CO coordination mode. ${ }^{7,11}$
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Figure 2. Orbital interactions of $\mathrm{M}-\mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ in $\mathrm{Cp}_{4} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})$.
Both overlap population and energy matrix analysis from EHMO calculations indicated significant bonding interactions between Ni and CO . The overlap population between Ni and CO is $19 \%$ of the total overlap population (1.267) between the metals and the carbonyl carbon. Two unusual bonding features of $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ interactions, related to the electronic saturation on the Ni atoms, were observed. First, bonding molecular orbitals between Ni and CO having Ni 4 s and 4 p character were found. This is difficult from our calculational results on $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2,3} \mathrm{~S}_{3,2} \mathrm{~L}_{n}$ clusters, in which metal d orbitals only contribute to bonding. ${ }^{12}$ Second, two filled $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ orbitals with $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{C}$ antibonding interactions were calculated.

The orbital interactions of the $\mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ are demonstrated in Figure 2. Type a, $\sigma$ bonding in nature, and type b , multicenter bonding with the $\mathrm{CO} \pi$-system, are about equally important in the Mo-CO interactions. Types b and $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ (distinguished only by the composition of the Ni atomic orbitals) are dominant (overlap population $\left.\mathrm{a}:\left(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)<1: 3\right)$ in the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ interactions. The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{CO}$ bonding is best described as a dative bond from Ni to the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals of the $\mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$. The low $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ overlap population ( 0.95 vs ca. 1.2 for terminal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ ligands) reflects this additional electron donation into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ antibonding orbitals and is consistent with the low $\nu_{\text {CO }}$ observed.

The framework of 2 may also be viewed as a pentagonal bipyramid in which five atoms $(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Ni})$ are unevenly spread around the Mo-Mo axis. Other clusters having the same framework geometry include $\mathrm{Cp}_{4} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}\right)$, ${ }^{13}$ $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{6},{ }^{8} \quad \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7},{ }^{5} \quad$ and $(\mathrm{RCp})_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \cdot{ }^{5,7}$ A comparison of these clusters shows that the dihedral angle between the $\mathbf{M M M}^{\prime}(\mathrm{M}=$ hinge metal, $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}=$ wingtip $)$ and the $\mathbf{M M}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{X}\right)$ planes is rather variable, suggesting a soft potential for deformation of the hinge angle or the $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}-\mu_{4}-\mathrm{X}$ distance. Thus, it is unlikely that the relatively long $\mathrm{Ni}-\mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ distance is caused by steric crowding in the $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ pocket. A series of EHMO calculations in which the CpNiS units were rotated around the Mo-Mo axis showed a shallow-bottomed well with a minimum corresponding to a $\mathrm{Ni}-\mu_{4}-\mathrm{CO}$ distance of ca. $2.25 \AA$. Below ca. $2.0 \AA$, the energy rises rapidly, but no single bonding or antibonding interaction could be identified as the cause of the rapid increase in energy.
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## Investigation of Isomeric Intermediates: $\mathrm{Co}^{+}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Co}^{+}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$
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One of the prime distinctions between studies of gas-phase and condensed-phase chemistry is that chemical intermediates are rarely isolated in the former, whereas they are routinely studied in the latter. Because of this, gas-phase-ion chemists have resorted to a variety of indirect means to study and characterize proposed intermediates in a chemical reaction. These include collisioninduced, ${ }^{1}$ photon-induced, ${ }^{2}$ and metastable ${ }^{3}$ dissociations, kinetic energy "sampling", ${ }^{4}$ and chemical reactivity studies. ${ }^{2,5}$ In this work, we describe the surprising direct observation of a long-lived chemical intermediate in a five-atom reaction system, $\mathrm{Co}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

Figure 1 shows results obtained by using guided ion beam mass spectrometry to study the reaction of ammonia with $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$(as produced by surface ionization at 2300 K , and therefore primarily in the $a^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ state). Details of the technique and methods for analyzing the energy dependence of the cross sections can be found elsewhere. ${ }^{6,7}$ The dominant product is $\mathrm{CoH}^{+}$. Analysis of this cross section, $\sigma\left(\mathrm{CoH}^{+}\right)$, leads to a threshold of $2.79 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{eV}$. This is within experimental error of the threshold expected for reaction $1,2.67 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{eV}$, given $D^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right)=4.69 \mathrm{eV}^{8}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Co}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CoH}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{Co}^{+}-\mathrm{H}\right)=2.02 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{9}$ This agreement verifies that reaction 1 has no large barriers in excess of the endothermicity.

Below the threshold for reaction 1, formation of $\mathrm{CoNH}_{2}{ }^{+}$is the most favorable process and must be due to reaction 2 . While

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Co}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CoNH}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{H} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the logarithmic scale of Figure 1 makes the threshold for this process appear to be near 1 eV , analysis of $\sigma\left(\mathrm{CoNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$(which accurately accounts for the kinetic and electronic energy distributions of the reactants) shows that the true threshold is $2.0 \pm$ 0.1 eV . This value leads to $D^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{Co}^{+}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)=62 \pm 2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$,
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